

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/089,135	PHELAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Adrienne C. Johnstone	1733	

All Participants:

(1) Adrienne C. Johnstone.

(2) Howard Cohn.

Status of Application: finally rejected

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 December 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

all

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:


all

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner suggested reciting in the specification and claims that the arcuately-shaped side of the patch is convex (supported by original Figures 1 and 2) in order to overcome the prior art rejections of record.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)